6β- TIGLOYLOXYGLECHOMAFURAN A NEW FURANOSESQUITERPENE FROM SALVIA GLUTINOSA

A. Rustaiyan, M. Goudarzi, H. Sigari* and Z. Habibi**

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Shahid Beheshty University, Eveen, Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran
*Pharmaceutical Research Center, Darou-Pakhsh Ave., Karaj Road, Islamic Republic Of Iran ** Islamic Azad
University, Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran

Abstract

The aerial parts of Salvia glutinosa afforded in addition to lupeol, α – and β – amyrin a furanosesquiterpene, its structure being established by high field NMR techniques. The relative configuration at C-1, C-10, C-4, C-5 and C-6 of 6β –tigloyloxyglechomafuran 1 was determined by the observed NOE'S.

Introduction

Many species of the large genus Salvia have been studied chemically [1-4]. However, Salvia glutinosa so far has not been investigated and as a part of our continuing chemical investigations of Iranian Salvia species we have now examined S. glutinosa L. (Labiatae)

Results and Discussion

Careful separation of the extract of the aerial parts of S. glutinosa afforded lupeol, α -and β -amyrin and an oily compound, its IR spectrum contained a carbonyl stretching frequence at 1735 cm⁻¹, as well as furan bands at 1660, 1550 and 880 cm⁻¹.

The new furanogermacrane, 6β -tigloyloxyglechomafuran 1, was assigned the composition $C_{20}H_{26}O_5$ on the basis of mass spectrometry (M⁺, m/z 346, 18%).

Its 1 H- NMR spectrum (Table 1) indicated that a tiglate of a furanosesquiterpene was present. The presence of trisubstituted furan followed from the typical signals at δ 7.07 (1H) and 1.93 (3H). A doublet at δ 3.10 and a double doublet at 2.87 indicated the presence

Keywords: Salvia glutinosa, Labiatae, Furanosesquiterpene

of a diepoxide. This was supported by spin decoupling leading to sequence requiring a 1(10) and 4,5-bisepoxide. The H-5 signal was a doublet showing a vicinal coupling with a broadened singlet at δ 6. 63.

Accordingly, the tigloyloxy group most likely was at C-6. The small coupling already indicated that both H-5 and H-6 were α — oriented. This was established by the observed NOE'S. Thus clear effects were obtained H-3, H-5 (5%) and H-1 (2%), H-14 and H-9 (3%), H-13 with H-12 (7%) and H-6 (8%), H-1 and H-5 (6%) as well as between H-6, H-5 (6%) and H-13 (3%).

Thus we were dealing with 6β - tigloyloxy- 1β , 10α , 4α , 5β , 8, 12-epoxygermacra -7, 12-diene (1), a derivative of glechomafuran 2, typical for several Umbelliferae species [5].

The 13 C NMR data (Table 1) also agree with the structure. The most polar fractions afforded a further sesquiterpene its 1 H-NMR data clearly showed that we were dealing with an oxidation product of 2, the 1 β , 10 α , 4 α , 5 β - diepoxy- 8 β - hydroxy- 8- α - 12- olide 3 [6], most likely an artifact.

The isolation of 1 from a Salvia species is of interest as such sesquiterpenes with this type of skeleton so far have been reported only from one species (S).

$$\frac{1}{15} = R = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{15} = R = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{15} = R = 0$$

$$\frac{20}{15} = 19$$

$$\frac{2}{15} = R = H$$

$$\frac{1}{15} = R = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{15} = R$$

palaefolia) [7].

Table 1. NMR spectral data of compound 1

1 _H	1	*13 _c	1	
1	2.86 dd	1	64.9 d	
2	2.13 dddd	2	23.1 t	
2	1.52 dddd	3	37.6 t	
3	1.33 ddd	4	59.8 s	
3	2.22 dt	5	64.3 d	
5	3.10 d	6	65.9 d	
6	6.63 brs	7	118.4 s	
9	2.77 d	8	148.5 s	
9	3.43 d	9	37.5 t	
12	7.07 q	10	61.8 s	
13	1.93 d	11	121.7 s	
14	1.44 s	12	138.5 d	
15	1.50 s	13	9.0 q	
18	6.83 qq	14	18.0 q	
19	1.80 dq	15	18.5 q	
20	1.84 dq	16	166.5 s	
		17	128.2 s	
		18	137.6 d	
		19	14.6 q	
		20	12.3 q	

*Some signals may be interchangeable. J_{Hz} : 1,2 α = 1.5; 1.2 β = 11; 2 α , 2 β = 14; 2 α , 3 α = 3; 2 α , 3 β = 5; 2 β , 3 α = 2 β , 3 β = 13; 3 α , 3 β = 13; 5,6 = 1.5; 9 α , 9 β = 16 18.19 = 7; 18.20 = 19.20 = 1.5

Experimental Section

¹H-NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker WM 400 ¹³C-NMR in CDCl₃, Bruker WH 270. TMS was used as an internal standard, and chemical shifts are reported on the (ppm) scale. IR spectra with a Perking-Elmer 257. MS spectra with Varian-MAT 711 direct inlet at 70 ev. Analytical TLC was performed on aluminium sheets silica gel 60 F₂₅₄, layer thickness 0.2 mm, with Et₂0 -petrol, Et₂0-MeOH solvent system and the spots were visualized under UV light and/or a ceric sulfate spray reagent. HPLC analyses were carried out with Knauer High pressure Liquid chromatograph with a UV detector. Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin-Elmer 241 polarimeter.

Extraction and Isolation

The air-dried aerial parts (350g, collected July 1990 in Nour Province, Mazandaran, Iran Voucher 90-205 deposited in the Herbarium of the Department of Botany, Shahid Beheshty University, Eveen, Tehran, were extracted with Et₂0-MeOH-Petrol (1:1:1).

The extract obtained was defatted with MeOH (-20°C) and first separated by column chromatography (Silica gel). The fractions obtained with $\rm Et_20$ -Petrol (3:2) were separated by prep. TLC (Silica gel, HF₂₅₄) affording 18 mg 1($\rm Et_20$ -petrol 1:1, Rf 0.35) and 25 mg 2 (Rf 0.65). The Polar fractions ($\rm Et_20$ to $\rm Et_20$ -MeOH, 9:1) were further separated first by prep.

TLC and then by HPLC (MeOH-H₂O, 3:2, RP8, ca 100 bar flow rate ca 3 ml/min) affording 10 mg 3 (Rf 5.8 min).

6β-tigloyloxy-1β, 10α, 4α, 5β, 8, 12–epoxygermacra 7,12-diene 1 . IR $_{max}^{CHCl_3}$, cm $^{-1}$: 1735 (ester), 1270 (epoxide), 1660, 1550 and 880 (furan); MS m/z (rel, int.): 346.427 [M+] (18) (calc. for $C_{20}H_{26}O_5$: 346.427), 246 [M- $_{1}^{OH}$]+(15), 231 [246-Me]+ (63) 216 [231-Me]+ (40), 108_($_{1}^{OH}$]+ (100) _ [α] $_{1}^{24°}$ + 84 (CHCl₃; c 0.54) .

Acknowledgements

A. Rustaiyan thanks Professor F. Bohlmann,

Technical University of Berlin for NMR and Mass Spectra, and Eng. A. Mozaffarian from the Research Institute of Forests and Rangelands of Tehran for the collection and identification of plant material.

References

- 1. Rustaiyan, A. and Sadjadi, A. Phytochemistry 26, 3078, (1987).
- 2. Rustaiyan, A. and Koussari, S. ibid 27, 1767, (1988).
- 3. Ulubelen, A. and Tuzlaci, E. J. Nat. Prod., 5 3, 1597, (1990).
- Gonzalez, A. G., Aguiar, Z. E., Luis, J. G. and Ravelo, A. G. ibid.
 1231, (1989).
- 5. Stahl, E. and Datta, S. N. Lieb. Ann. Chem. 757, 23, (1972).
- 6. Ulubelen, A., Goren. N., Bohlmann, F., Jakupovic, J., Grenz, M. and Tanker, N. *Phytochemistry*, 24, 1305, (1985).
- 7. Gonzalez, A. G., Grillo, T. A, Ravelo, A. G., Luis, J. G. and Rodriguez, M. L. J. Nat. Prod., **52**, 1307, (1989).